

Appl. No. 10/689,152
Amdt. dated August 10, 2006
Reply to Office action of July 11, 2006

REMARKS

This is in response to the Restriction Requirement dated July 11, 2006. The Examiner requires an election between Species I, Fig. 7A; Species II, Fig. 7B; Species III, Figs. 8A-8B; Species IV, Fig. 10A; Species V, Fig. 10B; Species VI, Figs. 9A and 9C; Species VII, Figs. 9B and 9D; Species VIII, Figs. 11A-11B; Species IX, Figs. 12A-12B; Species X, Figs. 12C-12D; and Species XI, Figs. 12E, 12F, 13B and 13C.

Applicant hereby elects Species VII, Figs. 9B and 9D. This election is made without traverse.

Claims 1, 6-10, 12-18, 24-26, 34-38, 40-46, 52-54 and 60 read on the elected species.

Claim 1 reads on all species, and is therefore generic. Upon allowance of Claim 1, Applicant requests examination of all claims that depend on claim 1.

Dated: August 10, 2006

Respectfully submitted,



Steven E. Koffs, Reg. No.: 37,163
Attorney For Applicant

DUANE MORRIS LLP
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-4196
(215) 979-1250 (Telephone)
(215) 979-1020 (Fax)